

Determining the Impact of MSW as a Feedstock Blending Agent on Pretreatment Efficacy, Hydrolysate Production and Convertibility

WBS 2.2.1.103-105

Seema Singh, SNL (PI) Ning Sun, LBNL/ABPDU (co-PI) Vicki Thompson, INL (co-PI)



December 21, 2015

## **Summary**-Key findings

- Developed an integrated process for ionic liquid (IL) based deconstruction technologies
- Screened 16 MSW blends provided by INL using the 10mL tube reactor and identified the most promising blend (CS/MSW 4:1) for scaling up test based on the sugar yields as well as the feedstock cost
- Successfully demonstrated 600-fold (10mL to 6L) scale up of MSW/CS blends IL acidolysis
- Optimized conditions in the tube reactor at SNL cannot be applied directly to the 10L Parr vessels due to the different reactor configurations
- The scale up attempt and process integration will leverage the opportunity towards a cost-effective sugar/lignin production technology
- FY16&17 effort is to use enzymatic hydrolysis instead of acidolysis using renewable ILs and MSW blends that meet BETO cost targets



## Sugar yields with Acidolysis at small scale



■1.5h ■2h ■2.5h

■1.5h ■2h ■2.5h

## Switchgrass : MSW paper mix (80:20) (2015 new blend); Ionic liquid: [C<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>im]Cl





Corn stover : MSW paper mix (80:20) (2014) Ionic liquid B: $[C_4C_1 im]CI$ , Ionic liquid E: $[C_2C_1 im]CI$ 



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

## Performance metrics for 10 L Parr run @ ABPDU

